IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 12385 of 1999

DATED: 22.12.2005

Between:

S.V.Phanindranath Naidu petitioner

And

The Govt of A.P., rep by its Secretary,

Education Department Secretariat, Hyderabad and others Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO. 12385 OF 1999

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition was filed challenging the notification issued vide Advertisement No.E.11(2)/ Advt/BL/ 99(1) dated 8.4.1999 and notification No.E.II(2)/Advt/L.GR.II 99 (8) dated 19.4.1999.

None appeared for petitioner, nor any representation is made on his behalf.

On the other hand, learned Standing Counsel for second respondent submitted that pursuant to the directions of Division Bench of this Court in W.P No. 4648 of 2004 and batch dated 22.6.2004, the impugned notification was cancelled and a fresh notification was issued and in view of the same, the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

The Division Bench of this Court in the above batch of writ petitions dated 22.6.2004, issued the following directions;

1. *The University shall now assess the present vacancy position in all the teaching posts and issue fresh notification keeping in view the reservation made to various categories of persons within a period of six (06) weeks from today and thereafter further process shall be taken and the entire process of selections shall be completed within a period of four (04) months from the date of the issue of the notification. The reservations which are available to physically handicapped also shall be taken into consideration while notifying the vacancies.*

2. *The persons who had already responded to the earlier nine notifications need not submit fresh applications and they should be given opportunity to participate in the selection process afresh.*

Since the impugned notification dated 12.4.1999 itself was cancelled and a fresh notification was issued, the cause in the writ petition no more survive and it has become infructuous. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

22.12.2005

TVK

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 12385 of 1999

DATED: 22.12.2005